

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/627,586	07/25/2003	Khalid Mekouar	660081.442	2991		
7278	7590 01/11/2006		EXAMINER			
DARBY & I	DARBY & DARBY P.C.			MCINTOSH III, TRAVISS C		
P. O. BOX 52		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
NEW YORK,	NY 10150-5257	1623	174 EKNOMBEK			
			DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006			
			DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/62	7,586	MEKOUAR ET AI	MEKOUAR ET AL.			
		Exam	iner	Art Unit				
			s C. McIntosh	1623				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on	the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ac	idress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community or reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply witreply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n nication. atory period will apply a ill, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNIC to event, however, may a re and will expire SIX (6) MON a application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this c BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 26 April 200	5 .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)		Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	S) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	a) accepted o	r b)□ objected to t	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner.	. Note the attached	Office Action or form P1	ГО-152.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
* C	application from the Internationa	· ·	• • • •					
•	See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the c	erunea copies not i	received.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC		Paper No(s)/Mail Date	2.450			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date	ΓO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 1-15, drawn to compounds of formula I of claim 1 and compositions comprising the same, classified in class 514, subclass 43.

II. Claims 16-20, drawn to methods for treating or preventing viral infections comprising administering the compounds and compositions of group I to a subject, classified in class 514, subclass 43.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the methods of using the products can be practiced with another materially different product, such as with acyclovir. Moreover, it is noted that a reference disclosing a compound of Group I may not disclose the use of the same as an antiviral agent, and as such, a reference anticipating or rendering obvious one group would not necessarily anticipate or render obvious the other.

Art Unit: 1623

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the examination required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for one Group is not required for another Group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. It would indeed impose an undue burden upon the examiner in charge of this application if the instant restriction requirement is not set forth.

Claims 1-28 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising a plethora of divergent compounds represented by the Markush group of formula I. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. By a single species it is meant a single compound. The compound may be named in any of four ways: 1) according to IUPAC standard, 2) by a pictorial representation of the compound, 3) by setting forth the specific chemical group that each variable of the Markush group represents, or 4) by naming a claim or an example which itself sets forth a single compound.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 1623

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection

Art Unit: 1623

are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Application/Control Number: 10/627,586

Art Unit: 1623

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Traviss C. McIntosh whose telephone number is 571-272-0657.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Shaojia A. Jiang can be reached on 571-272-0627. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Traviss C. McIntosh III

January 6, 2006

Shaojia A. Jiang

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Page 6

Art Unit 1623